MINO3

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/938,993

Opperthauser

Examiner

James F. Hook

Art Unit **375**2



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover she	et with	the corresponde	ence address	
Period for Reply		_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within				2	
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apple. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause.	ly and will expire SIX (6) I	MONTHS f	rom the mailing date of	of this communica	ation.
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of	of this communication, ev	en if timely	filed, may reduce an	у	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 24</u> ,	, 2001		1		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This a	action is non-final.		1	13/4 V	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex A	e except for forma parte Quayle, 193	al matte 35 C.D.	ers, prosecution 11; 453 O.G.	n as to the m 213.	nerits is
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>19-28</u>			is/are pend	ding in the a	pplication.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are witl	ndrawn from	consideration.
5)			is/are	allowed.	
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u>			is/are	rejected.	;
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>21-28</u>			is/are	objected to).
8) Claims	are	subject	to restriction a	and/or electi	on requirement.
Application Papers			•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are a) 🗆 accepted	d or b)	\square objected to	by the Exam	iner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abe	yance. See 37 (CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 :	approved b)	disapproved	I by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office act	ion.		Ä,	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.			A A	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				A STATE OF THE STA	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) o	or (f).	Sys. 1
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					•
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received	d.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received	d in Ap	plication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		National Sta	ge -
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of				,,	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes				· [.]	
a) The translation of the foreign language provision				/or 121	*
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	the priority under t	JU U.S.	.C. 33 120 d10	/UI 121.	
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmarv (PT	O-413) Paper No(s).		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	=	·	nt Application (PTO-1	52)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:		••		•

Application/Control Number: 09/938,993

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

1. It should be noted that the preliminary amendment filed on August 24, 2001 could not be entered since claims 19- 28 already existed in the application and are duplicates of those filed in the preamendment.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "in said" is misspelled as "ins aid". Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Application/Control Number: 09/938,993

Art Unit: 3752

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type 4. double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5, 6, 8, 16, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,315,006. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the language of claims 19 and 20 is encompassed by the limitations set forth in claims 5, 6, 8, 16, and 17 of the patent.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 5. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6. disclosure. The patents to Kazmierowicz, Berti, Bonjour, Prestidge, Sr., Botsolas, Nicholson, and Davis disclosing state of the art connections for insulated pipes.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,993

Art Unit: 3752

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Hook whose telephone number is (703) 308-2913.

J. Hook April 7, 2003 James F. Hook Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752